

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/761,594	01/16/2001	Hans-Jurgen Hacke	GR 98 P 4137 P	5815	
75	90 01/28/2003				
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A.			EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			HARAN, J	AN, JOHN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1733		
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003	/ [

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0		
Advisory Action	09/761,594	HACKE ET AL.			
Auvisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit			
	John T. Haran	1733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addre	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applicati	to a on in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The approporiginally set in the final O	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s): <u>35 USC 112, second paragi</u>	raph rejections.			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		dered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,13-17 and 19-24</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11,12,18</u> .	_				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a		•	er.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>1</u>	<u>2</u> .			
10. Other:					
					

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/21/02 was filed after the mailing date of the Final Office Action on 9/11/02. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 1/13/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the limitations recited in the last paragraph of claims 1 and 3 were not given patentable weight in the previous office action. However it is pointed out that these limitations were addressed at page 5 of the Final Office Action mailed on 9/11/02 (Paper No 12). One skilled in the art would have readily appreciated that the product derived from the method of Akagawa et al, as modified in the rejection, would be capable of being soldered to a printed circuit board and of being mechanically decoupled from the printed circuit board. Only the expected results would be achieved in performing the method of Akagawa et al, as modified in the rejection.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John T. Haran** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-0052**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8 - 5) and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael W. Ball can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1733

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661,

John T. Haran

January 22, 2003

Michael W. Ball Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700